The Banking Law Journal

Established 1889

An A.S. Pratt™ PUBLICATION

JULY-AUGUST 2020

EDITOR'S NOTE: THE FEDERAL RESERVE ACTS

Steven A. Meyerowitz

THE FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD SIGNIFICANTLY REVISES INTRA-AGENCY

APPEALS PROCEDURES

Pinchus D. Raice, Jeffrey Alberts, and Dustin N. Nofziger

TALF 2020: SUMMARY OF REVISED TERM SHEET AND 1940 ACT CONSIDERATIONS

David H. Joseph, Joseph F. Dell'Aquila, David P. Glatz, Prufesh R. Modhera, Stephen LaChine, and John Dikmak Jr.

BANKS: 5 TIPS TO AVOID BEING BLINDSIDED BY A LAWSUIT FOR A CUSTOMER'S MISDEEDS

Alex C. Lakatos

HOMELESSNESS IN AMERICA

Timothy D. Naegele



THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL

VOLUME 137	NUMBER 7	July-August 2020
Editor's Note: The Fe	deral Reserve Acts	
Steven A. Meyerowitz		353
	Board Significantly Revises	Intra-Agency
Appeals Procedures Pinchus D. Raice, Jeffre	ey Alberts, and Dustin N. No	fziger 355
	y of Revised Term Sheet and	l 1940 Act
Considerations David H. Joseph, Joseph	oh F. Dell'Aquila, David P. Gl	atz
1 ' 1	tephen LaChine, and John Dil	
_	d Being Blindsided by a Lav	vsuit for a
Customer's Misdeeds		271
Alex C. Lakatos		371
Homelessness in Amer	rica	
Timothy D. Naegele		378



QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the Editorial Content appearing in these volumes or replease call: Matthew T. Burke at	
Email: matthew.t.burke	@lexisnexis.com
Outside the United States and Canada, please call	(973) 820-2000
For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer please call: Customer Services Department at Outside the United States and Canada, please call	r service matters, (800) 833-9844 (518) 487-3385
Fax Number	(800) 828-8341
Customer Service Website http://www.lexisne.	
For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call Your account manager or	(800) 223-1940 (937) 247-0293

ISBN: 978-0-7698-7878-2 (print)

ISSN: 0005-5506 (Print) Cite this publication as:

The Banking Law Journal (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

Because the section you are citing may be revised in a later release, you may wish to photocopy or print out the section for convenient future reference.

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of RELX Inc. Matthew Bender, the Matthew Bender Flame Design, and A.S. Pratt are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2020 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved. No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Office 230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862 www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW & BENDER

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

BARKLEY CLARK

Partner, Stinson Leonard Street LLP

MICHAEL J. HELLER

Partner, Rivkin Radler LLP

SATISH M. KINI

Partner, Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

DOUGLAS LANDY

Partner, Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP

PAUL L. LEE

Of Counsel, Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

TIMOTHY D. NAEGELE

Partner, Timothy D. Naegele & Associates

STEPHEN J. NEWMAN

Partner, Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP

THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL (ISBN 978-0-76987-878-2) (USPS 003-160) is published ten times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Periodicals Postage Paid at Washington, D.C., and at additional mailing offices. Copyright 2020 Reed Elsevier Properties SA., used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 1275 Broadway, Albany, NY 12204 or e-mail Customer.Support@lexisnexis.com. Direct any editorial inquiries and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway, #18R, Floral Park. NY 11005. smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 646.539.8300. Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to bankers, officers of financial institutions, and their attorneys. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 230 Park Ave, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL, A.S. Pratt & Sons, 805 Fifteenth Street, NW., Third Floor, Washington, DC 20005-2207.

TALF 2020: Summary of Revised Term Sheet and 1940 Act Considerations

David H. Joseph, Joseph F. Dell'Aquila, David P. Glatz, Prufesh R. Modhera, Stephen LaChine, and John "JJ" Dikmak Jr. *

The authors summarize the Federal Reserve's recent changes to the 2020 Term Asset-Backed Securities Loan Facility and discuss issues that can arise under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended.

On April 9, 2020, the Federal Reserve further clarified and updated the terms for the new 2020 Term Asset-Backed Securities Loan Facility ("TALF 2020"). The revised term sheet¹ has materially updated the following provisions:

- The eligible borrower definition;
- The eligible collateral;
- Pricing;
- Collateral valuation; and
- The conflict of interest provisions.

This article summarizes these changes, and then explores issues under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (as amended, the "1940 Act") that registered investment companies wishing to participate in TALF 2020 should initially consider.

ELIGIBLE BORROWERS

The definition of "U.S. company" was amended and now includes companies created or organized in the United States, or under the laws of the United States, that have significant operations in and a majority of their employees based in the United States.

ELIGIBLE COLLATERAL

 Additional Asset Classes: The list of eligible collateral asset classes has expanded to include asset-backed securities ("ABS") with underlying

^{*} The authors, attorneys with Stradley Ronon Stevens & Young, LLP, may be contacted at djoseph@stradley.com, jdellaquila@stradley.com, dglatz@stradley.com, pmodhera@stradley.com, slachine@stradley.com, and jdikmak@stradley.com, respectively.

¹ Term Asset-Backed Securities Loan Facility, *available at* https://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/pressreleases/files/monetary20200409a1.pdf.

credit exposure to leveraged loans, commercial mortgages, and equipment leases.

- Removed Asset Class: The Federal Reserve has removed ABS backed by servicing advance receivables from the list of eligible assets.
- Ratings Requirements: The ratings requirement for eligible collateral has
 not changed, and still requires eligible ABS to receive the highest
 long-term or, in the case of non-mortgage backed ABS, the highest
 short-term investment grade rating from two nationally recognized
 statistical rating organizations ("NRSRO"), and must not have a credit
 rating below the highest investment-grade rating from an eligible
 NRSRO.
- New Issue Criteria: The eligibility criteria still require that, for all asset classes other than commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS"), the ABS must have been issued on or after March 23, 2020. For a CMBS to be deemed eligible collateral, it must (i) have been issued prior to March 23, 2020, and (ii) have its underlying credit exposure to real property located in the United States or one of its territories.
- New Carveouts: The Federal Reserve has also specifically carved out commercial real estate ("CRE") collateralized loan obligations ("CLOs") and single-asset, single borrower ("SASB") CMBS from the newly included CMBS category, and will only allow static CLOs to be eligible collateral.

PRICING

The Federal Reserve has updated its initial pricing terms, as set forth in the table below:

Asset Class	Pricing Terms				
CLOs	150bps + 30-day average SOFR rate				
SBA Pool Certificates (7(a) Loans)	75bps +the top of the federal funds target rate				
SBA Development Company Participation Certificates (504 Loans)	75bps + 3-year fed funds overnight index swap (OIS) rate				
All other eligible ABS	Securities with WAL of less than 2 years	125bps + 2-year OIS rate			
	Securities with WAL of 2 years or greater	125bps + 3-year OIS rate			

COLLATERAL VALUATION

The haircut schedule, included directly below, has been added to the revised term sheet and is consistent with the haircut schedule used for the original TALF established in 2008 ("TALF 2008").

Sector	Subsector	ABS Average Life (years)*						
		0-<1	1-<2	2-<3	3-<4	4-<5	5-<6	6-<7
Auto	Prime retail lease	10%	11%	12%	13%	14%		
Auto	Prime retail loan	6%	7%	8%	9%	10%		
Auto	Subprime retail loan	9%	10%	11%	12%	13%		
Auto	Motorcycle/other recreational vehicle	7%	8%	9%	10%	11%		
Auto	Commercial and government fleets	9%	10%	11%	12%	13%		
Auto	Rental fleets	12%	13%	14%	15%	16%		
Credit Card	Prime	5%	5%	6%	7%	8%		
Credit Card	Subprime	6%	7%	8%	9%	10%		
Equipment	Loans and leases	5%	6%	7%	8%	9%		
Floorplan	Auto	12%	13%	14%	15%	16%		
Floorplan	Non-Auto	11%	12%	13%	14%	15%		
Premium Finance	Property and casualty	5%	6%	7%	8%	9%		
Small Business	SBA Loans	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	6%	6%
Student Loan	Private	8%	9%	10%	11%	12%	13%	14%
Leveraged Loans	Static	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%	21%	22%
Commercial Mortgages	Legacy, Conduit	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	16%	17%

For auto, credit card, equipment, floorplan, and premium finance ABS, the weighted average life must be five years or less. For other new-issue eligible collateral, haircuts will increase by one percentage point for each additional year (or portion thereof) of average life beyond five years. For legacy CMBS with average lives beyond five years, base-dollar haircuts will increase by one percentage point of par for each additional year (or portion thereof) of average life beyond five years. No securitization may have an average life beyond 10 years.

FEDERAL GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Pursuant to the new terms, eligible borrowers and issuers of eligible collateral will be subject to the conflicts of interest requirements found in Section 4019 of the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (the "CARES Act"). This provision generally prohibits any business that is directly or indirectly owned by the president, senior executive branch officials or legislative branch members (or their immediate families) from receiving any funds.

Aside from the revisions mentioned above, much of the initial March 23 term sheet remained unchanged, including:

- Facility size;
- Availability period;
- Requirements for eligible borrowers;
- Facility administrative fees;
- Maturity;
- Recourse provisions;
- Prepayment terms; and
- Reservation of rights by the Federal Reserve to provide further terms and conditions and revise the current terms at a later date.

POTENTIAL 1940 ACT LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR TALF 2020

While specific details on TALF 2020 have yet to be released by the Federal Reserve, the Federal Reserve has indicated that TALF 2020 is expected to be substantially similar to TALF 2008.

Based on our experience with TALF 2008, it can be expected that registered investment companies wishing to participate in TALF 2020 should initially consider the following Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act") considerations. In connection with TALF 2008, some fund groups sought no action relief from the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") in relation to Section 17 and Section 18 issues. Until further information is released on TALF 2020, it is unclear as to whether fund groups will be able to rely on those previous no action letter precedents (the "NALs").² It should be noted that without the specific details of TALF 2020, the below should not be considered an exhaustive list of 1940 Act issues for consideration.

Section 17 of the 1940 Act

It should be noted that a Rule 17f-1 issue regarding primary dealers arose in connection with TALF 2008. Specifically, TALF 2008 and the corresponding Master Loan and Servicing Agreement (the "MLSA"), which has not yet been released for 2020 TALF, depended upon primary dealers that had been selected by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. The primary dealers were to be called upon to facilitate participation by registered funds and borrowers under TALF

² See e.g., SEC No-Action Letter, Franklin Templeton Investments "Investment Company Act of 1940 – Sections 18(a)(1), 18(c) and 18(f)(1)" (June 19, 2009), available at https://www.sec.gov/divisions/investment/noaction/2009/franklintempleton061909.htm.

2008. A primary dealer would have limited access to fund assets and would be selected by the participating fund based on an assessment of, among other things, the quality of its services and its creditworthiness.

If TALF 2020 follows an arrangement similar to TALF 2008, the primary dealer arrangement would not comply with Rule 17f-1 under the 1940 Act (which normally would govern the custody of fund assets by a primary dealer).

However, the NALs provided guidance on this issue. In the NALs, the SEC determined that this arrangement was permissible as it did not raise many of the safekeeping concerns underlying the rule or Section 17(f) generally.

Additional Section 17 legal considerations may be applicable to TALF 2020 once specific details have been released by the Federal Reserve.

Section 18 of the 1940 Act

Section 18 of the 1940 Act restricts the ability of registered closed-end and open-end funds to issue or sell any class of "senior security." The potential issues that a fund or client may face if participating in TALF 2020 include:

- Section 18(a)(1) of the 1940 Act prohibits any registered closed-end fund from issuing any class of senior security or selling any senior security of which it is the issuer, that represents an indebtedness, unless (among other things) immediately after such issuance or sale the fund will have asset coverage of at least 300 percent;
- Section 18(c) of the 1940 Act, with certain exceptions, prohibits any registered closed-end fund from issuing any senior security representing indebtedness if immediately thereafter such fund would have outstanding more than one class of senior security representing indebtedness; and
- Section 18(f)(1) of the 1940 Act prohibits any registered open-end fund from issuing any class of senior security, or selling any class of senior security of which it is the issuer, except that the fund may borrow from any bank, provided that immediately after any such borrowing there is asset coverage of at least 300 percent for all of the borrowings of the fund.

In 2009, each of these concerns was resolved by the NALs which allowed funds to treat TALF loans in a similar manner to reverse repurchase agreements, as outlined by SEC Release 10666.3

³ SEC Release No. 10666, Apr. 18, 1979, *available at* https://www.sec.gov/divisions/investment/imseniorsecurities/ic-10666.pdf.

Liquidity Risk Management and Rule 22e-4

Rule 22e-4 (the "Liquidity Rule") prohibits an open-end fund from acquiring any illiquid investment if, immediately after the acquisition, the fund would have invested more than 15 percent of its net assets in illiquid investments.

An illiquid asset is any asset that may not be sold or disposed of in the ordinary course of business within seven calendar days at approximately the value at which the fund carries the asset on its books. Although closed-end funds are not subject to this limitation, some closed-end funds have a non-fundamental investment policy to limit their investments in illiquid securities to 15 percent of their total assets.

Whether the above will be an issue for TALF 2020 remains to be seen as detailed information has not yet been made available. It should be noted, however, that back in 2009 the SEC stated that it was not in a position to provide no-action relief on any potential liquidity issues under the 1940 Act.