# Pratt's Journal of Bankruptcy Law

### **LEXISNEXIS® A.S. PRATT®**

APRIL/MAY 2022

**EDITOR'S NOTE: IN THE COURTS** 

Victoria Prussen Spears

THIRD CIRCUIT LETS THE KATZ OUT OF THE BAG: NO SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY FOR CALIFORNIA IN THE POST-CONFIRMATION VENOCO DISPUTE

Ronit J. Berkovich and Austin Crabtree

SIXTH CIRCUIT HOLDS STATE COURT TAX FORECLOSURE SUBJECT TO FRAUDULENT TRANSFER ATTACK

Michael L. Cook

# MORTGAGEES HOLDING LIENS AGAINST CHAPTER 13 DEBTOR'S PRIMARY RESIDENCE COULD BE SUBJECT TO PUNITIVE DAMAGES FOR NOTICE VIOLATIONS

Michelle Badolato and Deborah A. Reperowitz

DRIVING WHILE UNIMPAIRED: DELAWARE JUDGE ISSUES IMPORTANT RULING IN HERTZ CHAPTER 11 CASE ON TREATMENT OF UNIMPAIRED CREDITORS, ALLOWANCE OF MAKE-WHOLE PREMIUMS AND POSTPETITION INTEREST Benjamin D. Feder

BANKRUPTCY COURT HOLDS DEBTOR-ASSIGNOR IS NOT OFF THE HOOK FOR PRE-AND POST-ASSIGNMENT DAMAGES UNDER LEASE ASSIGNED PRE-BANKRUPTCY BECAUSE LANDLORD NEVER GRANTED A RELEASE

Patrick J. Potter, Claire K. Wu and Kwame O. Akuffo

VALIDITY OF NON-CONSENSUAL THIRD-PARTY RELEASES CALLED INTO QUESTION IN PURDUE BANKRUPTCY – BUT FOR HOW LONG?

Douglas S. Mintz, Kristine Manoukian, Peter J. Amend, and Kelly (Bucky) Knight

J&J TALC LAWSUITS TRANSFERRED TO NEW JERSEY – A LOOK INTO THE TEXAS TWO-STEP MANEUVER

Douglas S. Mintz and Kelly (Bucky) Knight

THERANOS: THE LIMITS OF THE "FAKE IT TILL YOU MAKE IT" STRATEGY Carrie H. Cohen, James M. Koukios, Christine Y. Wong, and Sophie H. Cash

THE HONG KONG APPROACH WHERE DEBTORS LODGE A PETITION FOR THEIR OWN BANKRUPTCY – HOW TO PREVENT ABUSE OF PROCESS

Glenn Haley and Carrie Yiu



# Pratt's Journal of Bankruptcy Law

VOLUME 18	NUMBER 3	April-May 202
Editor's Note: In the Courts		
Victoria Prussen Spears		107
Third Circuit Lets the <i>Katz</i> O California in the Post-Confirm Ronit J. Berkovich and Austin O	<b>*</b>	110
	rt Tax Foreclosure Subject to Fraudulent Transfer	110
Attack	it fax Poleciosule Subject to Plaudulent Hanslei	
Michael L. Cook		115
Be Subject to Punitive Damag		
Michelle Badolato and Deborah	A. Reperowitz	119
	elaware Judge Issues Important Ruling in <i>Hertz</i> t of Unimpaired Creditors, Allowance of ostpetition Interest	
Benjamin D. Feder	1	122
	tor-Assignor Is Not Off the Hook for Pre- and Post ease Assigned Pre-Bankruptcy Because Landlord	-
Patrick J. Potter, Claire K. Wu a	and Kwame O. Akuffo	128
Validity of Non-Consensual Tl Bankruptcy—But for How Lo	nird-Party Releases Called into Question in Purdue ng?	
Douglas S. Mintz, Kristine Man	oukian, Peter J. Amend and Kelly (Bucky) Knight	132
J&J Talc Lawsuits Transferred Maneuver	to New Jersey—A Look into the Texas Two-Step	
Douglas S. Mintz and Kelly (Bu	ıcky) Knight	137
	Fake It Till You Make It" Strategy ukios, Christine Y. Wong and Sophie H. Cash	142
The Hong Kong Approach Wl Bankruptcy—How to Prevent	here Debtors Lodge A Petition for Their Own Abuse of Process	
Glenn Haley and Carrie Yiu		146



### QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the <b>Editorial Content</b> appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please call:			
Ryan D. Kearns, J.D., at	. 513.257.9021		
Email: ryan.kearn			
Outside the United States and Canada, please call			
For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call:			
Customer Services Department at	(800) 833-9844		
Outside the United States and Canada, please call	(518) 487-3385		
Fax Number	(800) 828-8341		
Customer Service Website http://www.lexisne	exis.com/custserv/		
For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call			
Your account manager or	(800) 223-1940		
Outside the United States and Canada, please call	(937) 247-0293		

Library of Congress Card Number: 80-68780

ISBN: 978-0-7698-7846-1 (print) ISBN: 978-0-7698-7988-8 (eBook)

ISSN: 1931-6992

Cite this publication as:

[author name], [article title], [vol. no.] Pratt's Journal of Bankruptcy Law [page number] ([year])

**Example:** Patrick E. Mears, *The Winds of Change Intensify over Europe: Recent European Union Actions Firmly Embrace the "Rescue and Recovery" Culture for Business Recovery*, 10 Pratt's Journal of Bankruptcy Law 349 (2014)

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of RELX Inc. Matthew Bender, the Matthew Bender Flame Design, and A.S. Pratt are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc. Copyright © 2022 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Office 230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862 www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW & BENDER

# Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

### **EDITOR-IN-CHIEF**

STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

### **EDITOR**

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

### **BOARD OF EDITORS**

SCOTT L. BAENA

Bilzin Sumberg Baena Price & Axelrod LLP

Andrew P. Brozman

Clifford Chance US LLP

MICHAEL L. COOK

Schulte Roth & Zabel LLP

Mark G. Douglas

Jones Day

Mark J. Friedman

DLA Piper

Stuart I. Gordon

Rivkin Radler LLP

PATRICK E. MEARS

Barnes & Thornburg LLP

Pratt's Journal of Bankruptcy Law is published eight times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Copyright © 2022 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 9443 Springboro Pike, Miamisburg, OH 45342 or call Customer Support at 1-800-833-9844. Direct any editorial inquiries and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway Suite 18R, Floral New York smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 631.291.5541. Material for publication is welcomed-articles, decisions, or other items of interest to lawyers and law firms, in-house counsel, government lawyers, senior business executives, and anyone interested in privacy and cybersecurity related issues and legal developments. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to *Pratt's Journal of Bankruptcy Law*, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 230 Park Ave. 7th Floor, New York NY 10169.

## Mortgagees Holding Liens Against Chapter 13 Debtor's Primary Residence Could Be Subject to Punitive Damages for Notice Violations

### By Michelle Badolato and Deborah A. Reperowitz\*

The authors discuss court decisions considering whether the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure authorize the imposition of punitive monetary sanctions against a mortgagee that fails to comply with the notice requirements of Rule 3002.1.

The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit recently became the first circuit court of appeals to consider whether Federal Bankruptcy Rule 3002.1 authorizes punitive monetary sanctions to be imposed against a mortgagee for failure to comply with the Rule. The Second Circuit, in *In re Gravel*, determined that Rule 3002.1 does not authorize the imposition of such sanctions.<sup>2</sup>

At issue in *Gravel* was the imposition of sanctions by the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Vermont in the amount of \$75,000 against a mortgagee for violation of Rule 3002.1.<sup>3</sup> The consolidated bankruptcy court decisions that were reversed by the Second Circuit were the first to impose punitive monetary sanctions under the Rule.<sup>4</sup> The *Gravel* decision includes a lengthy dissenting opinion authored by Circuit Judge Bianco.

### **NOTICE REQUIREMENTS**

Federal Bankruptcy Rule 3002.1 imposes notice requirements on mortgagees holding a mortgage against a Chapter 13 debtor's principal residence in instances where a confirmed Chapter 13 plan requires the trustee or the debtor to make contractual installment payments to the mortgagee.

Specifically, the Rule imposes three requirements on such mortgagees.

<sup>\*</sup> Michelle Badolato, counsel in the Cherry Hill, New Jersey, office of Stradley Ronon Stevens & Young, LLP, concentrates her practice in the areas of financial services litigation, banking litigation and law, commercial litigation, foreclosure and bankruptcy. Deborah A. Reperowitz, a partner in the firm's office in New York, is co-chair of the firm's Bankruptcy, Workouts & Creditors' Rights practice. The authors may be contacted at mbadolato@stradley.com and dreperowitz@stradley.com, respectively.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In re Gravel, 6 F. 4th 503 (2d Cir. 2021).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Id., at 508.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> *Id.*, at 503.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> In re Gravel, 601 B.R. 873 (Bankr. D. Vt. 2019); In re Gravel, 556 B.R. 561 (Bankr. D. Vt. 2016).

First, the mortgagee must file and serve a notice of any change in the payment amount, including any change that results from an interest rate or escrow account adjustment, no later than 21 days before payment of the new amount is due.

Second, the mortgagee must file and serve a notice itemizing all fees, expenses or charges that were incurred in connection with the claim after the bankruptcy case was filed and that the mortgagee asserts are recoverable against the debtor or its principal residence. The notice of postpetition fees must be served within 180 days after the fees, expenses or charges are incurred.

Third, within 21 days after service of the trustee's notice of final cure payment, the mortgagee must file and serve a statement confirming whether it agrees that the debtor has paid the full amount required to cure the default on the claim and whether the debtor is otherwise current on all payments consistent with Section 1322(b)(5) of the Bankruptcy Code.

If the mortgagee fails to comply with the Rule, the Rule specifically states that the court may, after notice and hearing, take either or both of the following actions: (i) preclude the creditor from presenting the omitted information, in any form, as evidence in any contested matter or adversary proceeding in the case, unless the court determines that the failure was substantially justified or is harmless, or (ii) award other appropriate relief, including reasonable expenses and attorney's fees caused by the failure.<sup>5</sup>

Rule 3002.1 was adopted to prevent mortgagees from adding fees and costs to a Chapter 13 debtor's mortgage postpetition without giving notice and thereafter attempting to foreclose upon the debtor's property after all payments under the confirmed plan have been made. In such cases, the foreclosure was based on the nonpayment of unnoticed fees and costs, which were not addressed in the plan because they were unknown to the Chapter 13 debtor and the trustee.

### A CONTRARY RULING

About a month after the Second Circuit's decision in *Gravel*, the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Texas, relying largely upon the dissent in *Gravel*, entered a contrary ruling.

Specifically, the Texas bankruptcy court determined that the plain language of Rule 3002.1(i) coupled with the policies underlying the Bankruptcy Code

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Bankruptcy Rule 3002.1(i)(2).

permits punitive damages and sanctions to be imposed against a mortgagee as "other appropriate relief" under Rule 3002.1(i)(2).6

Prior to the *Gravel* and *Blanco* decisions, several courts considered, at least in dicta, whether Rule 3002.1 authorizes the imposition of punitive damages.<sup>7</sup> The disparity in the decisions generally stems from the court's interpretation of the "other appropriate relief" language in the Rule. It remains to be seen if the other circuits will take up this issue and how they will rule.

### **CONCLUSION**

A mortgagee's failure to comply with Bankruptcy Rule 3002.1 may result in the disallowance of fees and charges, the imposition of attorneys' fees and costs, and sanctions. In the majority of jurisdictions, no binding precedents have been established regarding whether sanctions are permissible under Bankruptcy Rule 3002.1.

To avoid monetary and other penalties for non-compliance with Rule 3002.1, mortgagees should:

- Become fully familiar with their obligations under Bankruptcy Rule 3002.1;
- Establish protocols to ensure their compliance with Bankruptcy Rule 3002.1;
- Ensure that their staff and agents are fully familiar with, and comply with, those protocols; and
- Not seek payment of any amounts they fail to properly notice in accordance with the mandates of Bankruptcy Rule 3002.1.

<sup>6</sup> In re Blanco, 633 B.R. 714 (Bankr. S.D. Tex. 2021).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See In re Tollstrup, No. 15-33924 (Bankr. D. Ore. Mar. 16, 2018) (rule does not permit punitive sanctions); In re: Beiter, 590 B.R. 446 (Bankr. S. D. Ohio 2018) (did not rule on the sanctions issue, but noted it might decide the punitive sanction issue later); In re Freeman-Clay, 578 B.R. 423 (W.D. Miss. 2017) (did not find bankruptcy court decision in Gravel compelling).