

Stradley Ronon Stevens & Young, LLP
2005 Market Street
Suite 2600
Philadelphia, PA 19103-7018
215.564.8000 Telephone
215.564.8120 Facsimile
www.stradley.com

With other offices in:
Washington, D.C.
New York
New Jersey
Illinois
Delaware



www.meritas.org

Our firm is a member of Meritas – a worldwide business alliance of more than 180 law offices in 86 countries, offering high-quality legal services through a closely integrated group of independent, full-service law firms.

Information contained in this publication should not be construed as legal advice or opinion or as a substitute for the advice of counsel. The enclosed materials may have been abridged from other sources. They are provided for educational and informational purposes for the use of clients and others who may be interested in the subject matter.

Copyright © 2018
Stradley Ronon Stevens & Young, LLP
All rights reserved.

IRS Concludes that Parent Cannot Amortize Brand Purchased from Subsidiary

In field attorney advice (FAA 20181701F) (<https://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-lafa/20181701f.pdf>), the IRS concluded that the anti-churning rules of Section 197(f)(9) and Treas. Reg. Section 1.197-2(h) apply to a brand purchased by a domestic parent from a foreign subsidiary. (Section references are to the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.) The brand was created by the domestic parent, but it did not amortize amounts capitalized to the brand because it was a self-created intangible. After selling the brand to a foreign subsidiary, the brand was split into a second and third brand and the domestic parent purchased the second brand. The domestic parent took a cost basis in the second brand and began amortizing it under Section 197(a) ratably over a 15-year amortization period. The IRS concluded that since the domestic parent and foreign subsidiary are related persons as defined in Section 197(f)(9)(C), the anti-churning rules of Section 197(f)(9) and Treas. Reg. Section 1.197-2(h) apply to the second brand. Consequently, a domestic parent cannot amortize the lump-sum payment to a foreign subsidiary under Section 197(a).

IRS Releases Practice Units on Energy Project Credit and Losses Claimed in Excess of Basis

The IRS released a practice unit (https://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-utl/erc_p_278_04_01_01.pdf) that provides guidance to IRS examiners for determining whether a taxpayer's qualifying advanced energy project credit (i.e., Section 48C credit) complies with Section 48C, including a discussion on the 15-step examination process. The IRS also released a practice unit (https://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-utl/sco_p_53_05_01_03_06.pdf) that addresses whether a shareholder has sufficient basis to claim losses and deductions passed through from the S corporation.



Christopher C. Scarpa



Kristin M. McKenna

For more information, contact Christopher C. Scarpa at 215.564.8106 or cscarpa@stradley.com or Kristin M. McKenna at 215.564.8145 or kmckenna@stradley.com.